2003

JAN 1 7 2003 THE TRADEMAR

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it dispi FEE TRANSMITTAL

for FY 2003 Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Complete if Known	
Application Number 08/917,710	
Filing Date August 26, 1997	
First Named Inventor Daniel P. Bednarik	
Examiner Name S. Wegert	
Group Art Unit 1647	EIVED

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 **TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** Attorney Docket No. PF307 METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) FEE CALCULATION (continued) AN 2 I Check Othe None 3. ADDITIONAL FEES Order TECH CENTER 1600/2900 Deposit Account Large Entity **Small Entity** Account 08-3425 Fee Number Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid Deposit Human Genome Sciences, Inc. 1051 130 2051 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath Name Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover 1052 50 The Commissioner is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply) 2052 25 sheet. Charge fee(s) indicated below X Credit any overpayments 1053 130 1053 130 Non-English specification Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this х 1812 2,520 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination Requesting publication of SIR prior to Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee 1804 920 1804 9201 Examiner action to the above-identified deposit account. Requesting publication of SIR after 1805 1.840 1805 1.840 **FEE CALCULATION** 1251 110 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month 1. BASIC FILING FEE 1252 410 2252 205 Extension for reply within second month Large Entity Small Entity 1253 930 2253 465 Extension for reply within third month Fee Fee Fee Fee Description 1254 Code 1,450 2254 (\$) Code (\$) 725 Extension for reply within fourth month Fee Paid 1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee 1255 1,970 2255 Extension for reply within fifth month 1002 330 2002 165 Design filing fee 1401 320 2401 160 Notice of Appeal 1003 520 2003 260 Plant filing fee 1402 320 2402 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 160 1004 750 2004 375 Reissue filing fee 1403 280 2403 Request for oral hearing 1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 1451 1.510 1451 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1452 110 2452 SUBTOTAL (1) 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable (\$) 1453 1,300 2453 650 Petition to revive - unintentional 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE 1501 1.300 2501 650 Utility issue fee (or reissue) 1502 470 2502 235 Design issue fee Claims below Fee Paid Total Claims -20 1503 630 2503 315 Plant issue fee Independent 1460 130 1460 Petitions to the Commissioner Multiple Dependent 1807 50 1807 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) Large Entity Small Entity 1806 180 1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt Fee Fee Description Recording each patent assignment per Code (\$) Code (\$) 8021 40 8021 40 property (times number of properties) 1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 Filing a submission after final rejection 1809 750 2809 375 1201 84 2201 42 Independent claims in excess of 3 (37 CFR 1.129(a)) For each additional invention to be 1203 280 2203 1810 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 750 2810 375 examined (37CFR 1.129(b)) 1204 84 2204 42 Reissue independent claims 1801 750 2801 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) over original patent Request for expedited examination 1802 900 1205 1802 900 18 2205 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 of a design application and over original patent Other fee (specify) SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00 *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0.00 **or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)					
Name (Print/Type)	Lin J. Hymel	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	45,414		(301) 251-6015
Signature	It for Ayund			Date	January 17, 2003



Docket No.: PF307

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Bednarik, Daniel P.

Application No.: 08/917,710

Group Art Unit: 1647

Filed: August 26, 1997

Examiner: Wegert, Sandra L.

For: Soluble Interleukin-1 Receptor Accessory

Molecule

RECEIVED

JAN 2 1 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

<u>REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111</u>

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 17, 2002 (Paper No. 20), and in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, Applicants hereby request entry and consideration of the following amendments and remarks. Applicants submit herewith (a) a Return Receipt Postcard, (b) a Fee Transmittal Sheet, and (c) Exhibit A.

REMARKS

Rejection of Claims 20-29, 38, 39, 49-58, and 60-73 Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 20-29, 38, 39, 49-58, and 60-73 stand rejected as allegedly lacking either a well-established or a specific, substantial, and credible utility. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action (Paper No. 20) states at pages 4-5 that four utilities are asserted in the specification for the claimed polynucleotides: (1) to search for drugs which are ligands or antagonists of the encoded polypeptide; (2) to produce antibodies; (3) to produce variant or chimeric polynucleotides or polypeptides; and (4) to search for the physiological activity of the encoded polypeptide or its binding partners. While these allegedly asserted utilities will be addressed further below, Applicants first would like to respectfully point out that at least one additional utility is asserted in the specification, namely the production of